Proceedings of the Seventh Meeting on CPT and Lorentz Symmetry (CPT'16), Indiana University, Bloomington, June 20-24, 2016



arXiv:1607.07932v1 [hep-ph] 27 Jul 2016

# Strongly Enhanced Effects of Lorentz-Symmetry Violation in $Yb^+$ and Highly Charged Ions

M.S. Safronova

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware*
*Newark, DE 19716, USA*

*Joint Quantum Institute, NIST and the University of Maryland*
*College Park, MD 20742, USA*

A Lorentz-symmetry test with $Ca^+$ ions demonstrated the potential of using quantum information inspired technology for tests of fundamental physics. A systematic study of atomic-system sensitivities to Lorentz violation identified the ytterbium ion as an ideal system with high sensitivity as well as excellent experimental controllability. A test of Lorentz-violating physics in the electron-photon sector with $Yb^+$ ions has the potential to reach levels of $10^{-23}$, five orders of magnitude more sensitive than the current best bounds. Similar sensitivities may be also reached with highly charged ions.

## 1. Introduction

Local Lorentz invariance (LLI) is an important foundation of modern physics and has been a subject of many stringent experimental and observational tests.[1] However, a number of theories unifying gravity with the Standard Model of particle physics suggest possible violation of Lorentz symmetry. While the suggested LLI-violation energy scale is much larger than the energy currently attainable by particle accelerators, it might be accessible with precision measurements at low energy. Therefore, high-precision tests of LLI with photons and particles (protons, neutrons, electrons) may provide insight into possible new physics and set limits on various theories.

Experimental breakthroughs in atomic, molecular and optical (AMO) physics, including laser cooling and trapping of atoms, attainment of Bose-Einstein condensation, optical frequency combs, and quantum control led to extraordinary advances in the control of matter and light. These achievements, coupled with dramatic improvements in precision time and frequency metrology, measurement techniques such as atomic interferometry and magnetometry, and advances in first-principles atomic and molecular

theory enabled a plethora of new applications of AMO, including novel ways to test the fundamental physics laws. The availability of trapped ultracold atoms and ions, subject to precise interrogation and control, provided for new opportunities for tests of Lorentz symmetry. A diverse set of AMO Lorentz-symmetry tests involves experiments with atomic clocks,[2] other precision spectroscopy measurements,[3] magnetometers,[4,5] electromagnetic cavities,[6,7] and quantum information trapped ion technologies.[8] A cold Cs atom clock test of Lorentz invariance in the matter sector was carried out in Ref. 2, setting the best limits on the tensor Lorentz-violating coefficients for the proton.

In 2015, an experiment with a pair of trapped calcium ions improved bounds on LLI-violating Standard-Model Extension (SME) coefficients $c_{JK}$ for electrons by a factor of 100 demonstrating the potential of quantum information techniques in the search for physics beyond the Standard Model.[8] The same experiment can be interpreted as testing anisotropy in the speed of light, improving a previous such bound[6] by a factor of 5, with the sensitivity similar to more recent work reported in Ref. 7.

## 2. $Ca^+$ experiment

Lorentz-violation tests are analyzed in the context of the phenomenological framework known as the SME, which is an effective field theory that augments the Standard-Model lagrangian with every possible combination of the Standard-Model fields that is not term-by-term Lorentz invariant, while maintaining gauge invariance, energy-momentum conservation, and observer Lorentz invariance of the total action.[9] Violations of Lorentz invariance and the Einstein equivalence principle in bound electronic states result in a small shift of the hamiltonian that can be described by[3,10]

$$\delta H = -\left(C_0^{(0)} - \frac{2U}{3c^2}c_{00}\right)\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2} - \frac{1}{6}C_0^{(2)}T_0^{(2)}, \qquad (1)$$

where we use atomic units, $\mathbf{p}$ is the momentum of a bound electron, and $c$ is the speed of light. The parameters $C_0^{(0)}$, $c_{00}$, and $C_0^{(2)}$ are elements in the $c_{\mu\nu}$ tensor which characterises hypothetical Lorentz violation in the electron sector within the SME.[1,10] The nonrelativistic form of the $T_0^{(2)}$ operator is $T_0^{(2)} = \mathbf{p}^2 - 3p_z^2$. Predicting the energy shift due to LLI violation involves the calculation of the expectation value of the above hamiltonian for the atomic states of interest. Therefore, the shift of the $Ca^+$ $3d_{5/2}$ energy level due to the $c_{\mu\nu}$ tensor depends on the values of $\langle 3d_{5/2}|\mathbf{p}^2|3d_{5/2}\rangle$ and $\langle 3d_{5/2}|T_0^{(2)}|3d_{5/2}\rangle$ matrix elements.

The frequency difference (in Hz) between the shifts of the $m = 5/2$ and $m = 1/2$ substates of the $3d_{5/2}$ manifold was calculated in Ref. 8:

$$\frac{1}{h}\left(E_{m=5/2} - E_{m=1/2}\right) = \left(-4.45(9) \times 10^{15}\ \text{Hz}\right) \times C_0^{(2)}. \tag{2}$$

The basic idea of the $Ca^+$ experiment is to monitor this energy difference between the magnetic $m_J = |1/2|$ and $m_J = |5/2|$ substates of the $3d_{5/2}$ $m_J$ manifold over time to set the limit on potential violation of LLI. Therefore, the shift of the $Ca^+$ $3d_{5/2}$ energy level due to the $c_{\mu\nu}$ tensor depended only on the value of $\langle 3d_{5/2}|T_0^{(2)}|3d_{5/2}\rangle$ matrix element, as the contribution of the scalar term canceled for the states of the same $m_J$ manifold. Superposition of two ions prepared in a decoherence-free subspace

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1/2, -1/2\rangle + |5/2, -5/2\rangle), \tag{3}$$

where $|m_1, m_2\rangle$ represents the $3d_{5/2}$ state with $m_J = m_1$ and $m_2$ for the first and second ion, respectively, allowed elimination of the largest potential systematic uncertainty cased by the fluctuation of the magnetic field. Details of the experiment are given in Ref. 8.

## 3. Proposal for LLI test with $Yb^+$ ions

Further improvement of LLI violation limits calls for a system with a long-lived (or ground) state that has a large $\langle j|T_0^{(2)}|j\rangle$ matrix element. We have carried out a systematic study of this quantity for various systems and identified general rules for the enhancement of the reduced matrix elements of the $T^{(2)}$ operator.[11] Our calculations for $nd$ states in $Ba^+$ and $Yb^+$, which are heavier analogues of $Ca^+$, found only a small increase of the $T^{(2)}$ matrix elements in comparison with the $Ca^+$ case. However, $Yb^+$ has another metastable level, $4f^{13}6s^2\ {}^2F_{7/2}$ with the $T_0^{(2)}$ matrix element that is over an order of magnitude larger than for the $nd$ states. We find that deeper localization of the probe electron leads to enhanced sensitivity to the tensor Lorentz violation. Our study has shown that $\langle\psi|r|\psi\rangle$ of $\sim$0.8 a.u or below for the corresponding electron is a good indicator of the large value of the reduced $T^{(2)}$ matrix element. This condition is satisfied for the $4f$ hole states, such as $Yb^+$ state considered here, or for highly charged ions with $nf$ valence electrons and degree of ionization $\sim 15$. The reduced $T^{(2)}$ matrix elements in $Yb^+$ and $Sm^{15+}$ are 135 a.u. and 149 a.u., respectively, in comparison of 9.3 a.u for the $3d_{5/2}$ state in $Ca^+$.

The $Yb^+$ $4f^{13}6s^2\ ^2F_{7/2}$ state also has an exceptionally long lifetime on the order of several years.[12] Therefore, the Ramsey duration of the proposed experiment with $Yb^+$ is not limited by spontaneous decay during the measurement as in $Ca^+$ case. The electric-octupole E3 transition between the $4f^{13}6s^2\ ^2F_{7/2}$ excited state and the ground state is used as the basis for the optical atomic clock with the single trapped $Yb^+$ ion, which presently has the lowest uncertainty among the all of the optical ion clocks.[13] $Yb^+$ ions are also used in quantum information research.[14] As a result, experimental techniques for precision control and manipulation of $Yb^+$ atomic states are particulary well developed making it an excellent candidate for searches of Lorentz-violation signature.

We estimated that experiments with the metastable $4f^{13}6s^2\ ^2F_{7/2}$ state of $Yb^+$ can reach sensitivities of $1.5 \times 10^{-23}$ for the $c_{JK}$ coefficients,[11] over $10^5$ times more stringent than current best limits.[8] Moreover, the projected sensitivity to the $c_{TJ}$ coefficients will be at the level of $1.5\times10^{-19}$, below the ratio between the electroweak and Planck energy scales.[11] Similar sensitivities may potentially be reached for LV tests with highly charged ions, given future development of experimental techniques for these systems.

## References

1. *Data Tables for Lorentz and CPT Violation,* V.A. Kostelecký and N. Russell, 2016 edition, arXiv:0801.0287v9.
2. P. Wolf, F. Chapelet, S. Bize, and A. Clairon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 060801 (2006).
3. M.A. Hohensee, N. Leefer, D. Budker, C. Harabati, V.A. Dzuba, and V.V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 050401 (2013).
4. M. Smiciklas, J.M. Brown, L.W. Cheuk, S.J. Smullin, and M.V. Romalis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 171604 (2011).
5. F. Allmendinger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 110801 (2014).
6. C. Eisele, A.Y. Nevsky, and S. Schiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 090401 (2009).
7. M. Nagel *et al.*, Nature Commun. **6**, 8174 (2015).
8. T. Pruttivarasin, M. Ramm, S.G. Porsev, I.I. Tupitsyn, M.S. Safronova, M.A. Hohensee, and H. Häffner, Nature **517**, 592 (2015).
9. D. Colladay and V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 116002 (1998).
10. V.A. Kostelecký and C.D. Lane, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 116010 (1999).
11. V.A. Dzuba, V.V. Flambaum, M.S. Safronova, S.G. Porsev, T. Pruttivarasin, M.A. Hohensee, and H. Häffner, Nature Physics **12**, 465 (2016).
12. N. Huntemann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 090801 (2012).
13. N. Huntemann, C. Sanner, B. Lipphardt, Chr. Tamm, and E. Peik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 063001 (2016).
14. R. Islam *et al.*, Science **340**, 583 (2013).